IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.15223 of 1998

Between:

1 M/s. Yax Tailors, Rep. by its Prop: Parachuri Madhava Rao,
S/o. Yellamanda D.No.22-15-69,
Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.
2 M/s. Quality Centre, Rep. by its Prop: B.Shanmukhi,
S/o. Lakshmana Rao. D.No.2-4-1,
Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.
3 M/s. Rathna Krishna Fancy, Rep. by its Prop: A.V.Rama Rao.
D.No.22-15-52, Bhimavaram,
West Godavari District.
4 M/s. Mahalakshmi Matchings, Rep. by its Prop: T.Srinivas Rao.
D.No.22-15-61, Bhimavaram,
West Godavari District.
5 M/s. Keerthi Cloth Showroom, Rep. by its Prop: Koteswara Rao,
Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.
6 M/s. Sri Venkata Ramana General Merchants, Rep. by the

Subrahamanyam, D.No.22-15-78, Bhimavaram,
West Godavari District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Superintending Engineer,
Operation Circle, A.P.S.E.B.,
ELURU West Godavari.
2 The Divisional Engineer,
Operation, A.P.S.E.B.,
Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.
3 The Asst. Divisional Engineer,
Operation Distribution I A.P.S.E.B., Bhimavaram,
West Godavari District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue order, direction, writ more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus and declare the action of the respondents in threatening the petitioners not to utilise the power supply from the generator as illegal, arbitrary and consequentially direct the respondents not to threaten the petitioners for utilising the power supply from the generator for the business establishments.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.P.R.K.AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: MRS.M.SRIRAMULU REDDY (SC FOR APTRANSCO)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 17.03.2005

Nsr

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Superintending Engineer,
Operation Circle, A.P.S.E.B.,
ELURU West Godavari.
2 The Divisional Engineer,
Operation, A.P.S.E.B.,
Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.
3 The Asst. Divisional Engineer,
Operation Distribution I A.P.S.E.B., Bhimavaram,
West Godavari District.

4 Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**PRASAD**}*